UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| | United States of America | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|-----------|--|--|
| | V. German Cruz-Jimenez Defendant | Case No. 1:17-cr-00199-RJJ |
| | er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, fendant be detained pending trial. | 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require |
| | Part I – Findings of | Fact |
| = | The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is | |
| - | a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more. | or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for |
| _ | an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or I | ife imprisonment. |
| - | an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years | s or more is prescribed in: |
| - | a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local convictions. | |
| - | any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: | |
| | a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 | ctive device or any other dangerous weapon |
| | The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the dor local offense. | efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state |
| (3) A | A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of the described in finding (1). | of conviction defendant's release from prison for the |
| (4) F | Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption tha person or the community. I further find that defendant has not | |
| | Alternative Finding | ıs (A) |
| (1) | - There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com | mitted an offense |
| - | for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | prescribed in:* |
| | The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the saf | |
| | Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. | |
| , | There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe | ety of another person or the community |
| (2) | Part II – Statement of the Reas | |
| | nd that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that: | |
| 1. Defend | lant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deter lant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in | |
| | lant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cour | |
| | | |
| | | |

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

| Date: | September 27, 2017 | Judge's Signature: | /s/ Ellen S. Carmody | |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | Name and Title: | Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge | |